




INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION PUBLISHED UNDER THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY (PCT)

(51) International Patent Classification ⁷ : H01Q 3/26, 21/06		A1	(11) International Publication Number: WO 00/55938
			(43) International Publication Date: 21 September 2000 (21.09.00)
(21) International Application Number: PCT/SE00/00453 (22) International Filing Date: 8 March 2000 (08.03.00) (30) Priority Data: 9900901-1 12 March 1999 (12.03.99) SE (71) Applicant (for all designated States except US): TELEFONAKTIEBOLAGET LM ERICSSON [SE/SE]; S-126 25 Stockholm (SE). (72) Inventors; and (75) Inventors/Applicants (for US only): REDVIK, Jonatan [SE/SE]; Västra Gatan 68, S-442 31 Kungälv (SE). SVENSSON, Bengt, Inge [SE/SE]; Muraregatan 10, S-431 66 Mölndal (SE). (74) Agents: HEDBERG, Åke et al.; Arös Patent AB, P.O. Box 1544, S-751 45 Uppsala (SE).		(81) Designated States: AE, AL, AM, AT, AU, AZ, BA, BB, BG, BR, BY, CA, CH, CN, CR, CU, CZ, DE, DK, DM, DZ, EE, ES, FI, GB, GD, GE, GH, GM, HR, HU, ID, IL, IN, IS, JP, KE, KG, KP, KR, KZ, LC, LK, LR, LS, LT, LU, LV, MA, MD, MG, MK, MN, MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK, SL, TJ, TM, TR, TT, TZ, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, YU, ZA, ZW, ARIPO patent (GH, GM, KE, LS, MW, SD, SL, SZ, TZ, UG, ZW), Eurasian patent (AM, AZ, BY, KG, KZ, MD, RU, TJ, TM), European patent (AT, BE, CH, CY, DE, DK, ES, FI, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAPI patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, GW, ML, MR, NE, SN, TD, TG). Published With international search report. Before the expiration of the time limit for amending the claims and to be republished in the event of the receipt of amendments.	

(54) Title: COMPENSATION OF FAULTY ELEMENTS IN ARRAY ANTENNAS

(57) Abstract

A method and a system are disclosed compensating for failed elements in an antenna array. The method assumes that at least the amplitude and, in most cases, the phase of, at least, some of the individual elements can be controlled to some extent. If one or more of the antenna array radiating elements are failed, at least some remaining elements are used to correct for this. The amplitude and phase radiation pattern of one of the failed elements is then synthesized using K of the remaining elements. The resulting excitation from this synthesis is superimposed on the failed array excitation at the positions of the K remaining elements. This procedure can be repeated for all the failed elements using the principle of superposition. A system utilizing the present method controls via a control unit a T/R module the phase and amplitude of each operating antenna array radiator.



FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AL	Albania	ES	Spain	LS	Lesotho	SI	Slovenia
AM	Armenia	FI	Finland	LT	Lithuania	SK	Slovakia
AT	Austria	FR	France	LU	Luxembourg	SN	Senegal
AU	Australia	GA	Gabon	LV	Latvia	SZ	Swaziland
AZ	Azerbaijan	GB	United Kingdom	MC	Monaco	TD	Chad
BA	Bosnia and Herzegovina	GE	Georgia	MD	Republic of Moldova	TG	Togo
BB	Barbados	GH	Ghana	MG	Madagascar	TJ	Tajikistan
BE	Belgium	GN	Guinea	MK	The former Yugoslav Republic of Macedonia	TM	Turkmenistan
BF	Burkina Faso	GR	Greece	ML	Mali	TR	Turkey
BG	Bulgaria	HU	Hungary	MN	Mongolia	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
BJ	Benin	IE	Ireland	MR	Mauritania	UA	Ukraine
BR	Brazil	IL	Israel	MW	Malawi	UG	Uganda
BY	Belarus	IS	Iceland	MX	Mexico	US	United States of America
CA	Canada	IT	Italy	NE	Niger	UZ	Uzbekistan
CF	Central African Republic	JP	Japan	NL	Netherlands	VN	Viet Nam
CG	Congo	KE	Kenya	NO	Norway	YU	Yugoslavia
CH	Switzerland	KG	Kyrgyzstan	NZ	New Zealand	ZW	Zimbabwe
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	KP	Democratic People's Republic of Korea	PL	Poland		
CN	Cameroon	KR	Republic of Korea	PT	Portugal		
CU	China	KZ	Kazakhstan	RO	Romania		
CZ	Czech Republic	LC	Saint Lucia	RU	Russian Federation		
DE	Germany	LI	Liechtenstein	SD	Sudan		
DK	Denmark	LK	Sri Lanka	SE	Sweden		
EE	Estonia	LR	Liberia	SG	Singapore		

Compensation of faulty elements in array antennas

TECHNICAL FIELD

The present invention relates to an improved method for compensating
5 changes in an array antenna radiation pattern due to faulty elements by
using remaining elements in the array for compensating the change resulting
from the failing elements.

BACKGROUND

10 Large array antennas do have a rather high probability that a fault may
occur in one or more of the antenna array elements. If such faults are of the
character that certain antenna elements get a reduced or no radiation
functionality, fundamental antenna performances, e.g. side lobe levels, are
strongly deteriorated.

15 Instead of hardware repair of the damage, by replacing failing parts, a
software solution adjusting amplitude and eventually the phase of the
remaining antenna elements may take place for at least partly repairing the
damage.

20 There are found several scientific reports considering this field of techniques.
Certain of these references are based on a re-optimization of amplitude and
phase for the remaining antenna elements, while others are based on
methods within the signal processing to recreate the signals of the failing
25 antenna elements. A number of representative articles are listed below in the
last paragraph before the disclosed present claimed improved method.

An U.S. Patent No. 5,416,489 to Mailloux 1995 describes a procedure and an
apparatus for phased array error correction. Mailloux discloses a technique
30 that enables array error correction by replacing the signals from failed
elements with processed signals derived from operating elements. However,
the technique according to Mailloux assumes that it is already known in

which directions the antenna radiation pattern has to be improved. The approach of Mailloux will result in that some of the remaining angles may experience an even more deteriorated performance.

- 5 Therefore there has been a demand of further improving the techniques for compensating losses in an array antenna radiation pattern due to faulty elements.

SUMMARY

- 10 A method and a system for compensating for failed elements in an antenna array are disclosed. The method assumes that at least the amplitude and, in most cases, the phase of, at least, some of the individual elements can be controlled to some extent.
- 15 If one or more of the antenna array radiating elements are failed, at least some remaining elements are used to correct for this. The amplitude and phase radiation pattern of one of the failed elements is then synthesized using K of the remaining elements. The resulting excitation from this synthesis is superimposed on the failed array excitation at the positions of
- 20 the K remaining elements. This procedure can be repeated for all the failed elements using the principle of superposition.

- According to the present method, by positioning a phase reference point of the array antenna in a failed element a unity value for all angles may be
- 25 synthesized by means of the calculation means. K neighboring elements in the array are selected and weighted with a specific excitation, exc^{failed} , of a failed element, for compensating the erroneous antenna radiation pattern. This results in a steering independent compensation as a total control vector consists of a sum of a constant compensation vector and an initial control
- 30 vector.

A system utilizing the method uses a control unit, which comprises a calculation means and the control unit, via a control signal network, controls

a T/R-module for each element of the array, whereby the control unit sets the phase and amplitude of each element in the array.

The method is set forth by the independent claim 1 and a further
5 embodiment of the method is set forth by the dependent claim 2.

A system utilizing the present method is set forth by the independent claim 3 and the dependent claim 4-5.

10 BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

The invention, together with further objects and advantages thereof, may best be understood by making reference to the following description taken together with the accompanying drawings, in which:

15 FIG. 1 demonstrates theoretically an antenna array having a failed element in the phase reference point and its neighboring elements;

FIG. 2 demonstrates theoretically a linear array having a failed element in the phase reference point;

20 FIG. 3 demonstrates a basic embodiment of an antenna array designed for a possibility to compensate losses in the array antenna radiation pattern due to faulty elements; and

25 FIG. 4 demonstrates a basic T/R-module used in the embodiment of FIG. 3.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION

General

30 Each element in an array antenna contributes to the far field by its element radiation pattern, weighted with the excitation of the element and its position relative to the phase reference point. If an element failure occurs

caused by malfunction in the actual antenna array, e.g., in the electronics equipment feeding a radiator element or any other malfunction which alters the original function of the element, an approach to the re-optimization problem is to synthesize the contribution of the failed element to the far field by means of at least some of the remaining operating elements. Due to the fact that superposition principles hold, the re-optimized excitation is the sum of the remaining elements original excitation and the contribution excitation of the synthesized failed element according to

$$\begin{aligned} exc_n^{optimum} &= exc_n^{original} + exc_n^{corr} \\ n &\in \{remaining\ elements\} \end{aligned}$$

in which exc_n denotes an excitation for an element n .

A correction excitation may be performed for all the remaining elements or for just a couple of them. If the correction is made to the neighboring elements, each failed element, which has the same neighbor configuration, can use the same correction, weighted with the excitation of the failed element. This means that the correction is independent of the position of the failed element, and if several elements fail, the different corrections can be superposed on each other. Another advantage with element radiation pattern synthesis is that the correction excitation is independent of the shape of the element radiation pattern if all element radiation patterns are equal.

The present improved new method

An improved method compensating for failed elements in planar antenna arrays is based on adjusting the excitation of the neighboring elements.

Suppose that an element failure occurs in a planar array antenna. Put the phase reference point at the failed element in accordance to Fig. 1 and use K neighboring elements which shall compensate for the contribution of the failed element. Since the failed element is positioned in the phase reference

point, the phase of the contribution of the element to a far field is zero for all angles. The far field contribution of the failed element is expressed by

$$FF^{failed}(u, v) = exc^{failed} \cdot EF(u, v),$$

5

$EF(u, v)$ denotes the element radiation pattern, also called the element factor, where:

$$\begin{cases} x = u \cdot r = r \cdot \sin \theta \cos \phi \\ y = v \cdot r \sin \theta \sin \phi \\ z = r \cdot \cos \theta \end{cases}$$

and the array is positioned in the xy-plane.

10

The K neighboring elements have a far-field contribution according to

$$FF^{neighbor}(u, v) = EF(u, v) \cdot \sum_{k=1}^K exc_k^{corr} \cdot e^{-jk_0(x_k u + y_k v)}$$

The goal is to fully restore $FF^{failed}(u, v)$ by optimizing the correction excitation of the neighboring elements, exc_k^{corr} . The propagation constant k_0 is defined as $2\pi/\lambda_0$, where λ_0 denotes the wavelength of the electromagnetic radiation. This is impossible, which is easily understood because if it was possible, it should have been possible to take away an element and then restore the antenna performance. This could then have been done recursively and in the end there would be only one antenna element left, but the initial antenna performance would still have been the same. On the contrary, it is possible to fully restore the contribution of the failed element to the far field in K arbitrary angles.

25 Since $EF(u, v)$ is present in both the above equations, this optimization method will be independent of the shape of the radiation pattern of the element, if all elements are assumed to be equal. The method will also be independent of the position of the failed element if the optimization synthesizes a unity value for all angles and then weight the solution with the

specific excitation exc^{failed} of the failed element. Therefore the optimization problem can be formulated as

$$\sum_{k=1}^K exc_k^{corr} \cdot e^{-j \cdot k_0 \cdot (x_k \cdot u_p + y_k \cdot v_p)} = 1$$

5

in which $p = 1, 2, \dots, P$ and u_p and v_p are different angles.

These P simultaneous equations can be written in a matrix equation
10 according to

$$A \bullet exc = \vec{1}$$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} g_1(u_1, v_1) & g_2(u_1, v_1) & \dots & g_K(u_1, v_1) \\ g_1(u_2, v_2) & g_2(u_2, v_2) & \dots & g_K(u_2, v_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ g_1(u_p, v_p) & g_2(u_p, v_p) & \dots & g_K(u_p, v_p) \end{bmatrix} \quad (1)$$

15

$$g_k(u_p, v_p) = e^{-j \cdot k_0 \cdot (x_k \cdot u_p + y_k \cdot v_p)}$$

$$exc = [exc_1^{corr}, exc_2^{corr}, \dots, exc_K^{corr}]^T$$

20 in which $\vec{1}$ is a P element unity row vector. If $P = K$ there exists only one solution to exc_k according to

$$exc = [A]^{-1} \bullet \vec{1}$$

25 But often it is desired to optimize the excitations over many more angles, which will lead to an over-determined equation system, which has no

solution. Instead the least mean square error method or any other suitable method may be used to solve the estimation of the correction excitation.

$$\text{exc} = [A^T \cdot A]^{-1} \cdot A^T \cdot \vec{1}$$

5

The optimal excitation of the antenna array with an arbitrary number of element failures is then given by

$$\text{exc}_n^{\text{optimum}} = \begin{cases} \text{exc}_n^{\text{original}} + \text{exc}_f^{\text{failed}} \cdot \text{exc}_n^{\text{corr}}, & n \in \{\Omega_f\} \\ \text{exc}_n^{\text{original}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

10

in which $\text{exc}_f^{\text{failed}}$ is the original excitation of the failed element number f and Ω_f is the set of neighbor elements for failed element number f , $f = 1, 2, \dots, F$ where F is the total number of failed elements. In the derivation of the solution of the compensation problem the optimization equation has been set equal to one, which is equivalent to all the elements of the antenna array being equal. In the case when the radiation elements are initially not made equal the problem can still be solved in the similar way by considering a particular initial weighting of some elements before the weighting according to the compensation is performed.

20

Simplification of the improved new method

Suppose that an element failure occurs in a linear array antenna. Put the phase reference point at the failed element according to Fig. 2, and use K (symmetrically positioned) neighboring elements, which shall compensate for the contribution of the failed element. In standard spherical coordinates θ, ϕ , having the linear array aligned along the x-axis $\theta = 90^\circ$, $\phi = 0^\circ$ and 180°

25

Due to the fact that the antenna radiation pattern variation in ν is determined solely by the element factor EF , only the cut $\nu = 0$ will be considered. The far-field contribution of the failed element is

30

$$FF^{failed}(u) = exc^{failed} \cdot EF(u)$$

in which $EF(u)$ is the element factor. The K elements have a far-field
5 contribution according to

$$FF^{neighbor}(u) = EF(u) \cdot \sum_{\substack{k=-K/2 \\ k \neq 0}}^{K/2} exc_k^{corr} \cdot e^{-j \cdot k_0 \cdot x_k \cdot u}$$

The optimization problem in the linear array case can be formulated as

10

$$\sum_{\substack{k=-K/2 \\ k \neq 0}}^{K/2} exc_k^{corr} \cdot e^{-j \cdot k_0 \cdot x_k \cdot u} = 1$$

in which $p = 1, 2, \dots, P$ and u_p are different cuts u .

15 These P simultaneous equations can be written in a matrix equation similar to equation (1) on page 6. However if the cuts, u_p , are chosen symmetrically around zero, which will be shown appropriately independent of scan angle, the result will show that the excitation correction is carried out symmetrically.

20

$$exc_k^{corr} = exc_{-k}^{corr}$$

By knowing this fact, it is possible to reduce the size of the problem by utilizing Euler's identity:

25

$$\cos(\Theta) = \frac{1}{2} \cdot (e^{j\Theta} + e^{-j\Theta})$$

By using these last three equations the problem may now be formulated as

$$2 \cdot \sum_{k=1}^{K/2} exc_k^{corr} \cdot \cos(k_0 \cdot x_k \cdot u_p) = 1$$

This new problem formulation can be written in a matrix equation too, but the matrix size is decreased to a quarter.

5

An illustrative embodiment utilizing the improved techniques

Fig. 3 demonstrates a basic embodiment of an antenna array designed for a possibility to compensate deterioration in the array antenna radiation pattern due to faulty elements. The illustrated setup according to FIG. 3 presents a receiver module 1 for the reception of microwave signals and an exciter module 2 generating a transmission signal. The receiver and exciter are via circulator 3 and a RF feed network 8 connected to an array antenna.

The antenna array connected to the circulator 3 via an RF feed network contains n radiating antenna elements 7a, 7b, 7c, ..., 7x, in which for instance an antenna element 7c represents a faulty radiator. Each of the radiator elements are connected to a respective transmit/receive-module (T/R-module) 5a, 5b, 5c, ..., 5x. A fault may occur either in the T/R-module 5c or in the radiating antenna element 7c itself, or in any other part affecting the function of the antenna element 7c.

FIG 4 presents the schematic build-up of an embodiment of a T/R-module to be used according to FIG. 3. Such a preferred T/R-module contains a first circulator 20 connecting two branches 21 and 31 together. A second circulator 30 splits the connection to the radiator element into the two branches 31 and 21. Branch 21 further contains a variable phase shifter 26 and a power amplifier 28, while branch 31 contains a low noise amplifier 34, a variable phase shifter 36 and a device 38 with variable attenuation.

All the T/R modules are individually controlled by a control unit 16, which obtains original phase and amplitude settings from a phase and amplitude settings unit 10. The control unit 16 also receives additional information

from a phase and amplitude corrections unit 12. The phase and amplitude settings unit 10 is given fixed settings for obtaining a desired radiation pattern. The unit 12 for phase and amplitude corrections may in an illustrative embodiment obtain its correction settings from the control unit 5 16 itself. The unit 12 then will comprise a processing device being programmed to execute the calculations needed for solving the K equations resulting from the matrix equation (1). The programming will utilize the possible simplifications discussed for applying a suitable high or low level programming language well known to a person skilled in the art. In an 10 illustrative embodiment the processing device of the controller 16 is a microprocessor provided with an arithmetic logic unit, ALU, forming a calculation means for performing the necessary calculations for the compensation according to the present method. Such microprocessor devices are easily available and are well known to persons skilled in the art.

15

The phase and amplitude control signals from units 10 and 12, which are combined in the control unit, via a control signal network 4 then control the individual T/R-modules. When the controlling processor detects, for instance, that an antenna element, for instance 7c, does not present a 20 proper signal response the processor can now via the phase and amplitude corrections unit supply the neighboring elements with phase and amplitude compensated signals. The resulting radiation pattern is accordingly compensated to a high extent and the antenna array will be able to continue to operate satisfactorily until failing portions will be repaired by replacement 25 of the faulty hardware.

The processor calculation is based on the assumption that the faulty element is considered being the phase reference point in this calculation in accordance to present suggested improvement for calculating a phase and 30 amplitude compensation for obtaining a compensation for the failing element of the array antenna.

The present method can of course also be applied to similar systems e.g., antenna systems without active amplifiers in the T/R-modules.

It will be understood by those skilled in the art that various modifications and changes may be made to the present invention without departure from the scope thereof, which is defined by the appended claims.

REFERENCES

- [1] P.J. Wright, "Planar array optimization with failed elements", IEE Conference Publication Antennas Proceedings of the 9th International conference on Antennas and Propagation. Part1, April 4-7, 1995.
- [2] H. Steyskal, R.J. Mailloux, "Generalization of a phased array error correction method", IEEE Antennas and Propagation Society, AP-S International Symposium (Digest) Proceedings of the 1996 AP-S International Symposium & URSI Radio Science Meeting. Part 1, pp 506-509, July 21-26, 1996.
- [3] T.J. Peters, "A conjugate gradient-based algorithm to minimize the side-lobe level of planar arrays with element failures", IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation, Vol. 39, No. 10, pp 1497-1504, Oct. 1991.
- [4] M.H. Er, S.K. Hui, "Array pattern synthesis in the presence of faulty elements", Signal-Processing, Vol. 29, No. 1, pp 57-65, Oct. 1992.
- [5] S.L. Sim, M.H. Er, "Sidelobe suppression for general arrays in presence of element failures".

CLAIMS

1. A method for compensating for failed elements in an antenna array, **characterized by** the steps of:

arranging a control unit (16), the control unit comprising a calculation means and the control unit, via a control signal network controlling the amplitude and phase settings of each element (7a-7x) of the array,

positioning a phase reference point of the array antenna in a failed element;

synthesizing by means of the calculation means a unity value for all angles and weighting the solution with a specific excitation exc^{failed} of a failed element, by formulating a correction excitation optimization problem as

$$\sum_{k=1}^K exc_k^{corr} \cdot e^{-j \cdot k_0 \cdot (x_k \cdot u_p + y_k \cdot v_p)} = 1$$

in which $p = 1, 2, \dots, P$ and u_p, v_p are different angles and k_0 is a constant;

selecting K neighboring elements of the failed element and selecting the number of different angles P such that $P = K$ to obtain a situation in which there exists only one solution to exc_n which denotes an excitation for an element n according to

$$exc = [A]^{-1} \cdot \vec{1}$$

in which

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} g_1(u_1, v_1) & g_2(u_1, v_1) & \dots & g_K(u_1, v_1) \\ g_1(u_2, v_2) & g_2(u_2, v_2) & \dots & g_K(u_2, v_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ g_1(u_p, v_p) & g_2(u_p, v_p) & \dots & g_K(u_p, v_p) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$g_k(u_p, v_p) = e^{-j \cdot k_0 \cdot (x_k \cdot u_p + y_k \cdot v_p)}$$

$$exc = [exc_1^{corr}, exc_2^{corr}, \dots, exc_K^{corr}]^T,$$

whereby the method results in a steering angle independent compensation as a total control vector consists of a sum of a constant compensation vector and an initial control vector.

2. The method according to claim 1, **characterized by** the further step of using a least mean square error method or any other general method for solving the correction excitation according to

$$\text{exc} = [\mathbf{A}^T \cdot \mathbf{A}]^{-1} \cdot \mathbf{A}^T \cdot \vec{\mathbf{1}}$$

whereby the optimal excitation of the antenna array with an arbitrary number of element failures then is given by

$$\text{exc}_n^{\text{optimum}} = \begin{cases} \text{exc}_n^{\text{original}} + \text{exc}_f^{\text{failed}} \cdot \text{exc}_n^{\text{corr}}, & n \in \{\Omega_f\} \\ \text{exc}_n^{\text{original}}, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

10

wherein $\text{exc}_f^{\text{failed}}$ is the original excitation of the failed element number f and Ω_f is the set of neighbor elements for failed element number f , $f = 1, 2, \dots, F$ where F is the total number of failed elements.

- 15 3. A system compensating for failed elements in an antenna array connected to a receiver and a transmitter for receiving and transmitting information, **characterized by**

a control unit (16), the control, via a control signal network, controlling amplitude and phase settings of each element (7a-7x) of the antenna array,

20

a calculation means included in the control unit, the calculation means being programmed to synthesize a unity value for all angles and weighting the solution with a specific excitation, $\text{exc}_f^{\text{failed}}$, of a failed element, by means of a correction excitation optimization equation

25

$$\sum_{k=1}^K \text{exc}_k^{\text{corr}} \cdot e^{-j k_0 (x_k u_p + y_k v_p)} = 1$$

in which $p = 1, 2, \dots, P$ and u_p, v_p are different angles and k_0 is a propagation constant,

the calculation means further selecting K neighboring elements of the failed element and selecting a number of different angles P such that $P = K$ to

obtain a situation in which there exists only one solution to exc_n which denotes an excitation for an element n according to

$$exc = [A]^{-1} \cdot \vec{1}$$

in which

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} g_1(u_1, v_1) & g_2(u_1, v_1) & \dots & g_K(u_1, v_1) \\ g_1(u_2, v_2) & g_2(u_2, v_2) & \dots & g_K(u_2, v_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ g_1(u_p, v_p) & g_2(u_p, v_p) & \dots & g_K(u_p, v_p) \end{bmatrix}$$

$$g_k(u_p, v_p) = e^{-j \cdot k_0 \cdot (x_k \cdot u_p + y_k \cdot v_p)}$$

$$exc = [exc_1^{corr}, exc_2^{corr}, \dots, exc_K^{corr}]^T,$$

the control unit (16) then producing corrected phases and amplitudes for the K selected neighboring elements and thereby resulting in a steering angle independent radiation pattern compensation, where a total control vector consists of the sum of constant compensation vector and an initial control vector.

15

4. The system according to claim 3, **characterized in** that the calculation means uses a least mean square error method or other general method for solving the correction excitation according to

$$exc = [A^T \cdot A]^{-1} \cdot A^T \cdot \vec{1}$$

whereby the optimal excitation of the antenna array with an arbitrary number of element failures then is given by

$$exc_n^{optimum} = \begin{cases} exc_n^{original} + exc_f^{failed} \cdot exc_n^{corr}, & n \in \{\Omega_f\} \\ exc_n^{original}, & otherwise \end{cases}$$

wherein exc_f^{failed} is the original excitation of the failed element number f and

Ω_f is the set of neighbor elements for failed element number f , $f = 1, 2, \dots, F$

where F is the total number of failed elements.

25

5. The system according to claim 3, wherein the calculation means is a microprocessor provided with an Arithmetic Logic Unit to be utilized for performing the necessary calculations.

1/3




Fig. 1




Fig. 2

2/3




Fig. 3

3/3


5

Fig. 4

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No.

PCT/SE 00/00453

A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER

IPC7: H01Q 3/26, H01Q 21/06

According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to both national classification and IPC

B. FIELDS SEARCHED

Minimum documentation searched (classification system followed by classification symbols)

IPC7: H01Q, G01S

Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to the extent that such documents are included in the fields searched

SE,DK,FI,NO classes as above

Electronic data base consulted during the international search (name of data base and, where practicable, search terms used)

C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT

Category*	Citation of document, with indication, where appropriate, of the relevant passages	Relevant to claim No.
Y	IEEE Antennas and Propagation, Volume 407, April 1995, P.J. Wright et al., "RE-OPTIMISATION OF LINEAR AND PLANAR ARRAYS WITH FAILED ELEMENTS" page 81 - page 82, line 32 --	1-5
Y	US 5083131 A (MICHAEL D. JULIAN), 21 January 1992 (21.01.92), column 2, line 61 - column 7, line 41, figures 3,11 --	1-5
A	US 5416489 A (ROBERT J. MAILLOUX), 16 May 1995 (16.05.95), abstract -- -----	1-5

☐ Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C.

☒ See patent family annex.

* Special categories of cited documents

"A" document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance

"E" earlier document but published on or after the international filing date

"L" document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)

"O" document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means

"P" document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed

"T" later document published after the international filing date or priority date and not in conflict with the application but cited to understand the principle or theory underlying the invention

"X" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered novel or cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is taken alone

"Y" document of particular relevance: the claimed invention cannot be considered to involve an inventive step when the document is combined with one or more other such documents, such combination being obvious to a person skilled in the art

"&" document member of the same patent family

Date of the actual completion of the international search

1 August 2000

Date of mailing of the international search report

02-08-2000

Name and mailing address of the ISA/

Swedish Patent Office

Box 5055, S-102 42 STOCKHOLM

Facsimile No. +46 8 666 02 86

Authorized officer

Cilla Lyckman/AE

Telephone No. +46 8 782 25 00

INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

Information on patent family members

02/12/99

International application No.

PCT/SE 00/00453

Patent document cited in search report	Publication date	Patent family member(s)	Publication date
US 5083131 A	21/01/92	NONE	
US 5416489 A	16/05/95	NONE	

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ BLACK BORDERS
- ☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- ☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☒ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- ☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
- ☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
- ☐ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
- ☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
- ☐ OTHER: _____

IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)